

Can gluon condensate in pulsar cores explain pulsar glitches ?

Raka D. Ray

Raman Research Institute, Bangalore 560 080, India

e-mail address:- raka@rri.ernet.in

Abstract

Making use of the possibility that gluon condensate can be formed in neutron star core, we study the vortex pinning force between the crust and the interior of the neutron star. Our estimations indicate an increase in pinning strength with the age of the neutron star. This helps in explaining observed pulsar glitches and removes some difficulties faced by vortex creep model.

Pulsars are considered as strongly magnetized rotating neutron stars emitting continuous sequence of pulses with clock-like periodicity, which have been measured up to a precision of 10^{-10} second. Pulsar period varies from millisecond to few seconds and increases very slowly due to the loss of rotational kinetic energy of the star and never decreases except the occasional glitches. A striking observation [1,2] in the study of pulsars is the sudden increase in the rotational rate, known as 'glitches', followed by a recovery back towards the pre-glitch period. Glitches are usually seen in young pulsars (characteristic age $T \sim 10^3$ to 10^4 years). The fractional change in the rotational frequency $\frac{\Delta\Omega}{\Omega}$ during a glitch is the of the order of $(\frac{\Delta\Omega}{\Omega}) \sim 10^{-9}$ to 10^{-6} accompanied by jumps in the spin down rate of magnitude $(\frac{\Delta\dot{\Omega}}{\dot{\Omega}}) \sim 10^{-3}$ to 10^{-2} . These observations have stimulated considerable interest in the dynamics of neutron star, particularly their interior structures. Although the radius, mass and core structure of a neutron star are somewhat uncertain as they depend on the equation of state(EOS) of nuclear matter for densities above the nuclear matter density $\rho_0 \sim 2 \times 10^{14}$ gm/cc, all models of neutron star assume a solid outer crust consisting primarily of iron nuclei arranged in a very rigid and strong crystalline lattice and a neutron superfluid coexisting with the extension of the outer crust lattice forming the inner crust.

Glitches can be explained [3] by the presence of this superfluid component which is loosely coupled to the nuclei present in the rigid outer crust. As expected [4], a rotating superfluid develops an array of quantized vortices, parallel to the axis of rotation, around which the circulation is quantized. The currently accepted explanation of pulsar glitches is provided by the vortex creep model(VCM) [5]. This model assumes that the superfluid vortex line within the neutron star experience a pinning force from the crustal lattice as they move radially outward from the rotation axis, the superfluid loses its angular velocity and slows down. The pinning force in this model is required to be finite and non-uniform so that the vortices are loosely pinned and inhomogeneously distributed in the inner crust. Thus a small area inside the neutron star can have a high density of pinned vortices known as 'traps' surrounded by relatively vortex-free region. When the vortex density within a trap increases to a critical value, the trap starts releasing vortices. These vortices trigger similar release

from other traps leading to an avalanche of such vortex lines. As each released vortex moves away from the trap, it carries away angular momentum from the superfluid component and transfers it to the crustal lattice. This spins up of the crust and results in glitches. The post-glitch behavior is a outcome of formation of new vortices and their re-pinning to the inner crust. VCM, however, has certain limitations. The model can not explain why very young pulsars like Crab exhibits a different type of glitch than that of Vela. Furthermore, some recent works [6] have raised doubt about the existence of nucleon superfluidity within the neutron star core. In addition, it has been suggested that the observed glitch and post-glitch behavior of neutron star to be related to its interior core structure. Many exotic states of matter have been proposed [7–9] to exist in the very dense core of the neutron star including pion-condensate, kaon condensate, hyperon condensate, quark and gluonic matter etc. In view of these results, we have attempted to explain pulsar glitch behavior in the framework of VCM considering gluon condensates as constituents of neutron star core.

From QCD studies, it is proposed [10] that in a QGP quarks and gluon may have two different phase transition temperatures, $T_g \sim 400$ MeV and $T_q \sim 250$ MeV respectively. Gluons are expected to undergo a first order phase transition and condensate to glue balls. Interestingly, Ellis et al [9] have shown that glue balls can be formed inside a neutron star core. These glue balls can transform to hadronic matter as expected from QCD. This picture of formation of hadronic matter from QGP motivates us to propose that, while the composition of the crust of young ($\tau \leq 10^4$ years) and old ($\tau > 10^4$ years) pulsars remain the same, the core of the young pulsars consists of glue balls contrary to the core of the old ones, which contain hadronic matter.

Following the arguments of Ellis et al, one may expect glueballs at the core of neutron star. The nature and stability of such glueballs need further detailed study. However, from a phenomenological point of view if we accept that such glueball obey typical QCD phase diagram [11] one expects the glueballs to be stable at the central core but decays near the boundary of core and crustal region. Recent studies [12] have revealed various decay modes of glueballs (ξ) including $\xi \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ and lighter hadrons ($\pi\pi, K\bar{K}$). However, the widths of

a glueball decay into two pions or kaons are found to be narrow [13]. If $\xi \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ is the dominant mode of decay then the formed protons within the neutron star are expected to undergo electron capture and form neutrons [14]. Hence, a neutron star with glueball core have the following structure : there will be a solid outer crust; beneath the outer crust will be an inner crust of superfluid neutrons and at the interior core there will be glueballs. At the boundary of the inner crust and the core, glueballs neutronize. With time, neutron star cools and temperature of the stellar interior decreases [15]. The decrease in the interior temperature of the neutron star allows more glueballs to neutronize even at higher densities [11]. Hence, an older pulsar is expected to have more neutrons than a younger one. We now show that the pinning energy of a superfluid vortex line to a nucleus with outer crust, is less for younger pulsars than older ones leading to frequent glitches for the former.

The pinning energy E_P in the VCM [5] is given by :

$$E_P = \frac{3}{8\pi} [(\frac{\Delta^2 n}{E_F})_{out} - (\frac{\Delta^2 n}{E_F})_{in}] V \quad (1)$$

where Δ is the gap energy, E_F is the Fermi energy and n is the number density of the superfluid neutrons inside and outside the nucleus respectively, V denotes the nuclear volume. The difference between the two terms in the right hand side of Eq. 1 represents the gain in energy when the crustal nucleus is well inside the vortex line.

To compare the pinning energies E_{P_g} for younger pulsars with glue ball core and E_{P_n} for old pulsars with neutron core , we consider the ratio:

$$\frac{E_{P_n}}{E_{P_g}} = \frac{[(\frac{\Delta_n^2 n_n}{E_{F_n}})_{out} - (\frac{\Delta_n^2 n_n}{E_{F_n}})_{in}] V_n}{[(\frac{\Delta_g^2 n_g}{E_{F_g}})_{out} - (\frac{\Delta_g^2 n_g}{E_{F_g}})_{in}] V_g} \quad (2)$$

with

$$E_{F_{n,g}} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} [\frac{6\pi^2 n_{n,g}}{(2s^2 + 1)}]^{2/3} \quad (3)$$

where $\hbar$ is the modified Planck constant, m is the mass of the neutron, s is its spin and subscripts n and g to physical quantities refer to those appropriate of older and younger pulsars.

Assuming $\Delta_n = \Delta_g$ and $V_n = V_g$, and neglecting the superfluid neutron number density outside the crustal nucleus, we obtain

$$\frac{E_{P_n}}{E_{P_g}} = \left[\frac{n_n}{n_g}\right]^{1/3} \quad (4)$$

Considering that stellar core contains neutrons alone, we find the number density of neutrons in a $1.33M_\odot$ pulsar of radius $10Km$ will be: $n_n = 3.8 \times 10^{38}$ per cc.

If the stellar core contains glue balls with typical stellar central density 1.25×10^{15} gm/cc a simple estimate shows that the expected the number density of neutrons can be given by $n_g = 0.65 \times 10^{35}$ per cc. Hence, from equation (4):

$$\frac{E_{P_n}}{E_{P_g}} = 18.5 \quad (5)$$

This shows, the pinning energy can be substantially reduced if the pulsar core contains glue balls instead of neutrons.

As we have already stated, the existence of glue ball in the young neutron stars helps in explaining the observed glitch phenomenon. In the frame work of vortex creep model, glueballs provide weak pinning and does not affect the nature of glitches and post glitch behavior (as explained by VCM). For older pulsar, glue balls evolve into hadronic matter and pinning becomes so strong that glitches stop while for new born pulsars, pinning may be super weak due to insufficient number of neutrons and may not be observed. For very young pulsars like Crab, glitches can be observed but the strength will be very low. For pulsars like Vela, glitches of observed strength can be expected.

Acknowledgement: The author is grateful to C. S. Shukre, A. M. Srivastava, A. Abbas, A. K. Ray and B. P. Mandal for many helpful discussions and acknowledges financial support from Raman Research Institute.

REFERENCES

- [1] Radhakrishnan V and Manchester R. N, Nature **222**, 228 (1969).
- [2] Lyne A. G, Pritchard R. S. and Shemar S. L, J. Astrophys. Astr. **16**, 179 (1995) and references therein.
- [3] Anderson P. W and Itoh N, Nature, **256** 25 (1975); Ruderman M. A, Astrophys J **203**, 213, (1976).
- [4] Landau L. D. and Lifshifz E. M., Statistical Physics Vol I (Butterworth -Heinemann) (1996).
- [5] Alpar M. A, Chau H. F, Cheng K. S and Pine D, ApJ **459**, 706 (1996) and references therein.
- [6] Takatsuka T. and Tamagaki R, Prog. Theo. Phys **97**, 345 (1997).
- [7] Bayn G. ‘ Structure and Evolution of Neutron star’, Editied by Pine D. et al (Addison-Wesley), Page 188 (1992).
- [8] Heiselberg H, Perthick C. J. and Staubo E. F, Phy. Rev. Lett. **70** , 1355 (1993).
- [9] Ellis J, Kapusta J. I and Olive K. A, Nucl. Phy. **B348**, 345 (1991).
- [10] Shuryak E, Phy. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3270 (1992).
- [11] Alam J, Raha S. and Sinha B, Phys. Rep. **273** , 243 (1996).
- [12] Bai. J , Phy. Rev. Lett. **76** 3502(1996).
- [13] Cao. J, Huang T. and Wu H. hep-th/9710311 (1997).
- [14] Shapiro S. L. and Tenkolshy S. A , “ Black hohes, white drarfs and neutron star” (Wiley Interscience) (1996).
- [15] Pethick C. J, Rev. Mod. Phy. **64**, 1163 (1992).